

From Chris Pawenski...

Regarding the draft press release, I think the second paragraph is a bit too technical and may actually cause un-needed public apprehension. Terms such as "...the site's unusual susceptibility to failure.." and ".. quantities of long lived radionuclides in onsite tanks..." may not be easily understood by the general public.

I believe the press release should focus more on the points that:

- a. Ray Vaughn was invited to speak before the NRC by the NRC and why he was.
- b. Ray's comments and feedback on how his comments were received by the NRC and comments or questions they may have had to him.
- c. The purpose of this public NRC meeting.

I am not suggesting we do not let the public know about the CTF's concerns, but it should be done separately and possibly discussed in what type of format. The public discussion or public notification regarding issues around the 'susceptibility to failure' and 'long term radionuclides' may be better handled by the experts in NYSERDA, DOE or NRC. Just a thought.

From Tim Siepel...

I like it; but I think it needs to be even stronger! I'd like to see the phrase "only a matter of time, and not much of it, before radioactive strontium appears in the water supply of Buffalo, and other major cities drawing their water from the Great Lakes", in there somewhere! People should know, what they're going to be drinking soon! I appreciate the reassurances of the DOE experts, but remember, "dilution is not the answer to pollution!"

From John Pfeffer...

ditto- I think that the wording is easily understandable by the communities, and not that technical