

DEIS Chapter 4– CTF Member Individual comments as of 4/20/2009

Page	Paragraph / Section	Status	Comment
	General	New	The Analysis of Impacts appears to focus on non-radiological impacts from the proposed site activity according to the various alternatives. The analysis of exposures is discussed in Human Health and Safety. I do not see a discussion of potential environmental impacts, outside of human exposure, to current and future possible uncontrolled radiological releases.
4-4	Table 4-1	New	Simplify table by eliminating redundant verbiage to facilitate comparisons
4-11	Table 4-3	New	Do the traffic volume impacts in Table 4-2 mesh with shipment projections in Table 4-52 on page 4-105?
4-12	Para 2 Line 3	New	"All shipments ...assumed to be by truck" Is this a valid assumption given that rail is a valid alternative?
4-22	Para 2 Line 2	New	Is sedimentation the greatest risk to local surface water quality? Are the impacts evaluated in these sections intended to be non-radiological? My expectation is that, radiological releases, either chronic or catastrophic, would pose the most significant risk.
4-22	Para 6	New	Long term negative surface water impacts would be improved.... (mitigated and/or eliminated?)
4-23	Para 2 Line 2	New	Shouldn't the line read "implementation of the Sitewide Removal Alternative"?
4-26	Section 4.1.4.4	New	This section infers that No Action would result in no impacts. While the "No Action Alternative" is not viable, wouldn't an evaluation of the long term, potentially critical impacts be more appropriate?