

NYSERDA's Views on the Preliminary Draft Decommissioning EIS

for the
West Valley Citizen Task Force

Presented by: Paul Bembia

August 23, 2006

New York State Energy Research and Development Authority

Purpose of this Discussion

- In Sept 2005, SAIC completed a Preliminary draft DEIS for agency review.
- Multi-agency review of the Preliminary draft was completed in March 2006.
- Independent Peer Review of the Preliminary draft was completed in April 2006.
- Significant technical issues were identified through these and earlier reviews.
- The schedule for release of the DEIS for public review has not been updated and remains at January 2007.
- Important for the CTF to understand some of the challenges that must be addressed before a Joint Decommissioning DEIS can be completed and issued for public review.

Decommissioning Environmental Impact Statement

- Important technical issues
- Results of the EIS Peer Review
- Final thoughts and discussion

082306
3 of 42

NYSDERDA 

Important Technical Issues

- Long-Term Erosion Modeling
- Groundwater Modeling
- Receptors and Exposure Scenarios
- Engineered Barriers

082306
4 of 42

NYSDERDA 

Erosion


Erosion is an important consideration in assessing decommissioning options at West Valley.



082306
5 of 42

NYSDERDA

Erosion



If left unabated, erosion may also degrade or destroy engineered structures.

082306
6 of 42


NYSDERDA

ing

it
tor

the receptor
al in determining
eptor...


NYSERDA



itive to many

sands of years is a

There is no standard method for conducting erosion predictions, particularly for long periods of time.





NYSERDA



082306
8 of 42

Long-Term Erosion Modeling


Some practical considerations ...

- Erosion processes in the real world are sensitive to both natural and man-made influences.
- Predicting erosion impacts over tens of thousands of years is a significant technical challenge.





Computer Prediction




SIBERIA Prediction 10,000 years from now - Gullies are gone and landscape is "rounded"

NYSDERDA

082306
13 of 42

Computer Prediction:





Stream heads are "burrowing," not advancing


SIBERIA Prediction 1000 years from now - No further headward advance of streams

NYSDERDA

082306
14 of 42




What do we observe in the real world?




Advancing Gully

- Erosion is bisecting and "notching" the landscape
- Gullies are growing and lengthening, not disappearing
- Streams are advancing by headward erosion
- Flat-lying plateaus remain between the streams




082306
17 of 42


Stream Incision



Buttermilk Creek



Frank's Creek



EQ-1 Gully

NYSDERDA

082306
18 of 42

of SIBERIA states:

models work for a range of "some way" from a comprehensive model for

to validate and test models; and

with data) shows the deficiencies in the

of Earth and Planetary

NYSERDA

Recent paper by the developer of

•While landscape evolution models of useful problems, we are still "having a complete and comprehensive landform evolution;

•Much work remains to be done on landscape evolution computer models

•Where testing (by comparison with computer model does not work, models must be addressed.

(Gary Willgoose, 2005, "Mathematical Modeling of Whole Landscape Evolution," Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Vol 33.)

082306
19 of 42

redictions

ure that more work is

models such as
these models to

Long-Term Erosion P

Model Results

Did not produce credible landscapes

Recent Publication

Recent recognition in the scientific literature needed to test and validate these models

Peer Review Group

"The science behind landscape evolution SIBERIA is not mature enough to rely on

provide long-term prediction of erosion in glaciated terrains of the northeast U.S."

Lack of confidence in the SIBERIA erosion model as a predictive tool for the West Valley site.

NYSERDA

082306
20 of 42

Long-Term Erosion Predictions

NYSERDA's conclusions on long-term erosion predictions:

There is a large degree of uncertainty in long-term erosion predictions. It's not clear whether this uncertainty can be quantified;

Based on the work to date, NYSERDA has no confidence in the long-term predictive ability of SIBERIA for the West Valley site; and

NYSERDA cannot support the use of these long-term erosion predictions in identifying a proposed action, or in a determination of compliance with the LTR and West Valley Policy Statement.

NYSERDA

082306
21 of 42

Important Technical Issues

- Long-Term Erosion Modeling
- Groundwater Modeling
- Receptors and Exposure Scenarios
- Engineered Barriers

NYSERDA

082306
22 of 42

Groundwater Modeling

1996 DEIS

SAIC used a 3-dimensional groundwater model to simulate groundwater flow.

The three-dimensional model simulated flow within a geologic unit, flow between units, water flow in, seepage flow to surface water - This provided an assessment of the groundwater system as a whole.

2005 Preliminary Draft

SAIC used sets of one-dimensional flow models to simulate flow within each of the modeled geologic units.

082306
23 of 42

NYSERDA

Groundwater Modeling

NYSERDA's conclusions on Groundwater Modeling:

The simplified approach used does not include important components of groundwater analysis needed for a complex site like West Valley.

A three-dimensional groundwater flow model should be developed for the EIS that includes flow within units, flow between units, water balance considerations, comparison of predicted results with site water level data.

The contaminant transport model should be developed based on the three-dimensional groundwater flow model.

082306
24 of 42

NYSERDA


Important Technical Issues

- Long-Term Erosion Modeling
- Groundwater Modeling
- Receptors and Exposure Scenarios
- Engineered Barriers

082306
25 of 42

NYSERDA

Radiation Exposure Pathways:



082306
26 of 42

NYSERDA

Groundwater Exposure Scenarios

- In-ground facilities
- No institutional controls
- Residents on the North and South Plateau
- Release to GW, residents use GW
- Groundwater seepage to surface water
- SW users on Buttermilk and Cattaraugus Cr.

Impacts to engineered barriers
as in the groundwater analysis

No erosion
or facilities

082306
27 of 42

NYSERDA

Erosion Exposure Scenarios

- In-ground facilities
- No institutional controls
- Erosion impacting engineered barriers and facilities
- Release to surface water
- SW users on Buttermilk and Cattaraugus Cr.

No Residents on the
North or South Plateau
in the erosion analysis.

Approx. 2 mi. To the nearest receptor

North and South Plateau


Buttermilk Creek

Cattaraugus Creek

082306
28 of 42

NYSERDA

Exposure Scenarios - Erosion




The nearest resident farmer for the erosion exposure scenario is placed on Buttermilk Creek, 2 miles away from the major contamination sources.

EIS assumes that erosion will generate slopes too steep for a residence or for farming...



082306
29 of 42

Current Site Topography




in the real world, we see flat lying plateaus next to eroding creeks and gullies



082306
30 of 42


Receptors and Exposure Scenarios

There are homes on flat areas next to erosion features...



HOME ON EDGE OF CREEK BANK,
CONNOISARAULEY CREEK, NEFF ROAD,
ASHFORD, NY

082306
31 of 42




RESIDENCE AT TOP OF DEEPLY INCISED
CREEK VALLEY - TRIBUTARY TO
CATTARAUGUS CREEK, ROCK SPRINGS
ROAD, ADJACENT TO WNY NUCLEAR
SERVICE CENTER, ASHFORD, NY

NYSERDA


Receptors and Exposure Scenarios

There are farms on flat areas next to erosion features...



FARM BUILDINGS ADJACENT TO AN
ERODING CREEK - TRIBUTARY TO
CONNOISARAULEY CREEK ALONG
RT. 218, ASHFORD, NY

082306
32 of 42




Farm Buildings

FARM BUILDINGS AT EDGE OF
ERODING GULLY (VIEW LOOKING
EAST) - CONNOISARAULEY CREEK
TRIBUTARY ALONG RT. 218,
ASHFORD, NY

NYSERDA

Receptors and Exposure Scenarios

There are other types of structures on flat areas next to erosion features.



People live, farm and work next to erosion areas today, so the EIS should assume people will do the same in the future.

082306
33 of 42



Receptors and Exposure Scenarios

NYSERDA's conclusions on Receptors and Exposure Scenarios:

There is no reasonable justification for locating the nearest resident 2 miles away from the site facilities. The analysis must include resident farmers living near erosion-impacted facilities.

There should be one impact analysis for on-site residents that includes both groundwater transport and erosion.

082306
34 of 42

NYSERDA



Important Technical Issues


- Long-Term Erosion Modeling
- Receptors and Exposure Scenarios
- Groundwater Modeling
- Engineered Barriers

082306
35 of 42

NYSERDA

Engineered Barriers

“Generally, engineered barriers are passive man-made structures or devices intended to improve a facility’s ability to meet a site’s performance objectives” - from NRC’s West Valley Policy Statement



- Circumferential slurry walls
- Upgradient slurry walls
- French drains
- Multi-layered caps
- Various types of grout barriers

082306
36 of 42

NYSERDA

Engineered Barriers

Engineered Barriers in the EIS:

- Barriers are assumed to degrade a pre-defined amount, then are assumed to perform at that level in perpetuity;
- Partial failure of barrier systems is not considered.

NYSERDA's conclusions on Engineered Barriers:

All assumptions for the long-term performance of the engineered barriers must be clearly supported.

The impact of partial failure of engineered barrier systems should be assessed.

Erosion impacts to engineered barriers must be considered.

NYSERDA

082306
37 of 42

Peer Review of the EIS Long-Term Performance Assessment!

Scope- Assess the adequacy of the approach used and the technical basis for the long term performance assessment in the Decommissioning EIS.

Members— Distinguished group of five highly experienced and recognized scientists in earth sciences, engineering, risk assessment, and health physics:

Dr. John Brechenoef
Dr. Robert Fakundiny
Dr. Shlomo Neuman
Dr. John Postorn
Dr. Chris Whippie



Schedule

Kick-off Meeting - November 7, 2005
Final Report – April 25, 2006

NYSERDA

082306
38 of 42

EIS Peer Review - Findings:

Exposure Scenarios and Receptors

•Scenarios that consider groundwater flow and transport ignore erosion and scenarios that consider erosion ignore groundwater flow and transport.

•Assumption that there are no near-by resident farmhouses ignores the possibility that residents could be present under less severe erosion scenarios.

Erosion Predictions

•SIBERIA produced landscapes that are unrealistic and not credible. Certain aspects of the analysis could be improved, but the reliability of SIBERIA as a predictor would remain highly uncertain.

•The science behind landscape evolution models such as SIBERIA is not mature enough to rely on these models to provide long-term prediction of erosion in glaciated terrains of the northeast US.

NYSERDA

082306
39 of 42

EIS Peer Review – Findings, continued:

Groundwater Flow Modeling

•The analysis of existing groundwater flow is unreliable, ignoring basic principles of groundwater balance and hydraulics.

•One dimensional flow tubes are arbitrary and fail to capture adequately the full three-dimensional nature of subsurface flow conditions at the site.

•Groundwater flow analyses in the EIS should be conducted using state-of-the-art numerical models that conserve water balance and allow the representation of key spatial and temporal aspects of flow conditions.

Contaminant Transport Modeling

•Contaminant releases and groundwater transport of contaminants depend critically on underlying flow assumptions. Since flow is not represented accurately, there is no basis for confidence in the long-term predictions of contaminant concentrations and doses.

NYSERDA

082306
40 of 42

EIS Peer Review – Findings, continued:

Approach to Addressing Uncertainty

- The authors of the EIS are urged to account for the significant uncertainties in a comprehensive manner.

Final Statement

- The PRG questions the suitability of the DEIS to serve as a basis for an informed selection of a preferred site closure or decommissioning alternative.

NYSERDA

082306
41 of 42

Some final thoughts:

Long-term erosion predictions are a significant technical problem. NYSERDA believes that defensible long-term erosion predictions for this site are beyond the state of the science at this time.

Other approaches are needed to address the erosion problem, for example -

- Focus on identifying and agreeing on a proposed action that is less dependent on long-term erosion predictions – e.g. EPA proposal.

NYSERDA

082306
42 of 42