

West Valley Probabilistic Performance Assessment

QPM June 2016



Neptune and Company, Inc.

www.neptuneandco.com



Neptune and Company, Inc • June 2016

1

Phase 2 Decision Making

- DOE/NYSERDA will make Phase 2 decisions for:
 - High-level waste tanks
 - NRC licensed disposal area
 - State licensed disposal area
 - Non-source area of the north plateau GW plume
 - Contaminated soils
 - Contaminated stream sediments



Neptune and Company, Inc • June 2016

2

Phase 2 Decision Process

- Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS)
 - NEPA
 - SEQRA
 - Contract procurement in progress
- Probabilistic Performance Assessment
 - Basis for the SEIS long-term performance assessment
 - Neptune and Company, Inc. (Neptune)
- Additional Information Sources
 - Phase 1 Studies
 - LiDAR Topographic Surveys
 - Radiological characterization
 - Others



Performance Assessment

- For the DOE and its LLW sites, PAs are intended to establish “reasonable expectation” that performance objectives are not exceeded.
- PAs are traditionally deterministic and conservative, yet any such analysis has *inherent uncertainties* in assumptions, parameter values, and in the models themselves.



What is Performance Assessment?

Performance Assessment is an analysis of a the release of a radionuclides from a site, and an estimation of the exposure to future humans to radionuclides for a specific set of future site scenarios that are based on expected future land use.

PAs are intended to evaluate whether or not performance objectives are met.

The concepts in this presentation apply to all types of radioactive and hazardous wastes.



Why Do PAs Need Improvement?

Traditionally, PAs have:

- Supported the *status quo*
- Focused on demonstrating compliance rather than on optimal decision making
 - Disposal, closure, long-term management
- Built-in conservatism leading to:
 - Poor (sub-optimal) decision making
 - Unnecessarily increased costs
 - Opaque to stakeholders (and to reviewers)
- All difficult to communicate and defend



Deterministic Modeling

Deterministic models

- produce deterministic (single-valued) output with no uncertainty,
- are easy to compare to deterministic performance objectives,
- typically strive for conservatism*, and
- may be a good choice for simple demonstration of compliance.



* What is conservative may not always be obvious, and conservatism can obscure model complexities.



Probabilistic Modeling


Probabilistic models

- strive to be realistic (not conservative),
- represent uncertainty using probability density functions for model parameters,
- propagate uncertainty through Monte Carlo simulation, and
- calculate model outputs as probability density functions.




Honest Answers

Single deterministic answer



time (yr)


Many probabilistic answers



time (yr)

- Does not represent uncertainty
- Increased chance of making the wrong decision

- Reflects uncertainty clearly
- Decision maker has to evaluate comfort level




Neptune and Company, Inc • June 2016

9

Deterministic vs Probabilistic

	pro	con
deterministic analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May be appropriate for simple compliance demonstration • If so, then simple for decision makers and public 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Uncertainties are unspecified • What is “conservative” may not be known • No global SA possible
probabilistic analysis	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Better represents state of knowledge • Requires development of input distributions • Facilitates global SA and uncertainty analysis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Requires more computer time (perhaps)




Utah NPRA and QPM Assessment Meeting • 10 Nov 2010

10


Managing Uncertainty

- We know that our knowledge is incomplete.
- How can we account for imperfect knowledge?
- Each modeling parameter and process has inherent uncertainty and variability
 - Inputs should be based on what we think we know (expectation) and how unsure we are (uncertainty)
 - And therefore the results must also be uncertain

no single
answer is
correct



a collection
of answers
reflects our
knowledge



Probabilistic Modeling

- Model preparation
 - Gather pertinent information
 - Develop conceptual model
- Model structure
 - Variables (parameters) and relationships
 - Include alternative models, scenarios
- Model specification
 - Probability distributions, correlations
 - Costs, value judgments



PPA Contract Technical Scope

- Near-term review and sensitivity analysis
 - Direction for site-specific scientific studies
- Transition PA from a deterministic model to a probabilistic model
 - GoldSim
- Phase 2 Alternatives analysis
- Materials to support the SEIS



Review of 2010 DPA

- In-depth review of existing DPA models, supporting documents and data
 - FEHM
 - STOMP
 - CHILD (erosion)
 - FORTRAN
 - Separate programs that collect process model results together in a systems model
 - Provides results for the 2010 FEIS PA



Transition to probabilistic PA

- Statistical specification of input distributions
 - Data-based – literature review and meta analysis – model abstraction – expert elicitation
- Model evaluation – including SA
- Model iteration until value of further model improvement is not warranted (value of information)



What PAs Should Be

- Decision-focused, considering
 - stakeholder concerns and values
 - costs of action
 - uncertainty (with probabilistic modeling)
- Sustainable
- Transparent
- Defensible
- Adaptive depending on results



PPA Steps

- FEPs/CSM
- White papers
- Supporting models
- GoldSim PPA
 - Dose, concentrations, ALARA
- Sensitivity Analysis
 - Iteration as necessary



FEPs and CSM

- FEPs – Features, Events, Processes (and Scenarios – FEPSs)
- CSM – Conceptual site model is directly linked to the FEPSs analysis
- Consider probability and consequence when building the FEPSs/CSM



Features, Events, and Processes

Features are characteristics of the Site, e.g.,
soil, geology, water, air, waste

Events might occur in the future, e.g.,
earthquakes, volcanoes, tsunamis,
meteors, ice ages

Processes are ongoing actions, e.g.,
subsidence, erosion, biotic mixing,
hydrology, geochemistry


Scenarios describe how humans might come
into contact with residual waste



Conceptual Site Model (CSM)

- Inventory
- Engineered barriers
 - Release and transport into environment
- Environment
 - Transport
- Exposure scenarios (human)
 - Dose
- Changes over time
 - Consideration of future climate





- ### Science-based modeling software
- GoldSim (systems-level modeling)
 - Probabilistic simulation engine with a built-in graphical interface for building differential equation and transfer function models
 - Process-level models
 - Erosion modeling
 - Groundwater modeling
 - Sediment transport
 - External irradiation modeling
 - Engineered features
 - Inadvertent intrusion and institutional control
- Neptune and Company, Inc • June 2016 22

Long-term Probabilistic PA Summary

- Alternatives focused
- Probabilistic system allows full global SA to be performed
 - Identifies inputs that are the primary drivers for the results
 - Can perform SA over the decision options
- Technically defensible, transparent, open, traceable



Support for SEIS

- Modeling results will be available for the SEIS – the cross-over should be straightforward in principle



Outreach and communication

- Participate in on-going communication and outreach efforts with stakeholders
- Use non-technical language to explain the PPA/GoldSim process and its use in the phased decision process
- Use a web-based platform to share steps in the GoldSim process with the public
- Provide regular PPA updates at QPMs

